

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/074,996 02/12/2002 Chang-Ping Lee SS-008 7160 EXAMINER 22830 7590 10/06/2005 CARR & FERRELL LLP REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A 2200 GENG ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PALO ALTO, CA 94303 2131

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/074,996	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher A. Revak	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Au	iaust 2005		
	action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	,	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(-)	
·			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
		,	
<b>1</b> 0			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>see attached</u> .	6) Other:		
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

# **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(e).

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements submitted on August 26, 2005 and June 11, 2002 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 15 contains the trademark/trade name "Microsoft Office" and "Microsoft Windows". Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A

Art Unit: 2131

trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe software products and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
  - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 6. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims recite of software alone and of itself that is not tangibly embodied. The Examiner suggests that claims 1-23 be amended to recite of a "computer implemented method" and claims 24-39 be amended to recited of a "software product stored on a computer readable medium"

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by England et al, U.S. Patent 6,775,779.

As per claims 1 and 24, it is disclosed by England et al of a method and a software product for securing a file, the method comprising launching an application when a request to access the file is received, determining, in an operating system supporting the application, whether the file being accessed is secured, when the file is determined to be secured, activating a cipher module and loading the file through the cipher module into the application, when the file is determined to be non-secured, loading the file into the application without activating the cipher module (col. 2, line 66 through col. 3, line 13 and col. 9, line 55 through col. 10, line 5).

As per claim 2, England et al teaches that the cipher module, once activated, operates within the operating system (col. 10, lines 28-36).

As per claim 3, England et al recites that the cipher module, once activated, operates transparently to a user requesting an access to the file (col. 10, lines 28-36).

As per claims 4 and 25, England et al teaches that the secured file includes a header and an encrypted portion, the header including or pointing to security information including a file key that, once obtained, can be used to decrypt the encrypted portion (col. 14, lines 18-35).

As per claims 5 and 26, England et al discloses of determining of whether the file being accessed is secured comprises determining if the file being accessed includes the header (col. 14, lines 18-35).

As per claim 6, England et al teaches that the header further includes a flag indicating that the file being accessed is secured, and wherein the determining of whether the file being accessed is secured comprises determining if the file has the flag (col. 2, line 66 through col. 3 and col. 14, lines 18-35).

Page 5

As per claims 7 and 27, the teachings of England et al recite wherein the loading of the file through the cipher module into the application comprises retrieving the file key, decrypting the encrypted portion with the file key in the cipher module, and sending the file in clear mode to the application (col. 14, lines 18-35).

As per claims 8 and 28, it is disclosed by England et al. that the security information including the file key is encrypted with a user key, and wherein the retrieving of the file key comprises obtaining a user key associated with a user requesting an access to the file, and decrypting the encrypted security information with the user key to retrieve the file key (col. 14, lines 18-35).

As per claims 9 and 29, England et al discloses that the security information further includes access rules controlling how and who the secured file can be accessed (col. 6, lines 33-45).

As per claims 10 and 30, the teachings of England et al recite wherein the loading of the file through the cipher module into the application only happens when access privilege of the user is within permissions granted by the access rules (col. 6, lines 33-45).

As per claim 11, England et al teaches of a method for securing a file, the method comprising maintaining a file key in a temporary memory space, encrypting the

security information for the encrypted portion, the security information being encrypted and including the file key and access rules to control access to the encrypted portion, and attaching the encrypted security information to the encrypted portion (col. 2, line 66 through col. 3, line 13 and col. 9, line 55 through col. 10, line 5).

As per claim 12, England et al teaches of deleting the file key from the temporary memory space when the attaching of the encrypted security information to the encrypted portion is complete (col. 10, lines 28-56).

As per claim 13, England et al discloses that wherein the encrypting of the file with the file key, the preparing of the security information, and the attaching of the encrypted security information happen whenever the file is caused to be stored in a storage space (col. 14, lines 18-35).

As per claim 14, it is disclosed by England et al wherein the encrypting of the file with the file key, the preparing of the security information, and the attaching of the encrypted security information happen upon receiving an instruction from an application or an operating system supporting the application (col. 10, lines 28-36 and col. 14, lines 18-35).

As per claim 15, England et al teaches wherein the application is provided in Microsoft Office and the operating system is Microsoft Windows (col. 5, lines 15-20).

As per claim 16, it is disclosed by England et al that the instruction is one of (i) Save, (ii) Close and (iii) Exit, all provided in the application (col. 10, lines 28-36).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 17, England et al teaches that the instruction is generated from an automatic operation of saving the file being opened into the storage space, the automatic operation is either triggered by the application itself or the operating system (col. 10, lines 28-56).

As per claim 18, England et al recites of encrypting the security information with a user key associated with a member selected from a group consisting of a user, a device, a software module, and a group of users (col. 14, lines 18-35).

As per claim 19, England et al teaches wherein the access rules in the security information comprises user information identifying who can assess the encrypted portion and how the encrypted portion can be accessed (col. 6, lines 33-45).

As per claim 20, England et al discloses a method for providing access control to a file, the method comprising launching an application under an operating system when a request to access the file is received, forwarding the request to a file system manager in the operating system, activating a document securing module by the file system manager to determine whether the file being accessed is secured, activating a cipher module when the file is determined to be secured, and loading the file through the cipher module into the application (col. 2, line 66 through col. 3, line 13 and col. 9, line 55 through col. 10, line 5).

As per claim 21, England et al recites of retrieving security information from the file when the file is determined to be secured, the security information including a file key and access rules, and obtaining an access privilege of a user requesting to access the file (col. 6, lines 33-45).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 22, the teachings of England et al recite wherein the activating of the cipher module proceeds successfully when the access privilege is within permissions granted by the access rules (col. 6, lines 33-45).

As per claim 23, it is disclosed by England et al wherein the activating of the cipher module comprises decrypting an encrypted portion of the secured file with the file key (col. 2, line 66 through col. 3, line 13 and col. 14, lines 18-35).

As per claim 31, England et al teaches of a software product including computer instructions for securing a file, the instructions, when executed by a processor, cause the processor to perform operations of maintaining a file key in a temporary memory space, encrypting the file with the file key in a cipher module to produce an encrypted file, wherein the file has been opened with an application and the cipher module operates transparently as far as a user executing the application is concerned, and storing, in a storage space, a secured file including the encrypted file and a header, wherein the header includes or points to security information including the file key (col. 2, line 66 through col. 3, line 13 and col. 9, line 55 through col. 10, line 5).

As per claim 32, England et al discloses of deleting the file key from the temporary memory space when the application is caused to close the file (col. 10, lines 28-56).

As per claim 33, England et al teaches wherein the encrypting of the file with the file key happens whenever the file is caused to be stored in the storage space (col. 10, lines 28-56).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 34, England et al recites wherein the encrypting of the file with the file key happens upon receiving an instruction from the application or an operating system supporting the application (col. 10, lines 28-56 and col. 14, lines 18-35).

As per claim 35, England et al teaches wherein the instruction is one of (i) Save, (ii) Close and (iii) Exit, all provided in the application (col. 10, lines 28-36).

As per claim 36. England et al discloses wherein the instruction is generated from an automatic operation of saving the file being opened into the storage space, the automatic operation is either triggered by the application itself or the operating system (col. 10, lines 28-56).

As per claim 37, England et al discloses wherein the security information further includes access rules of how and who the secured file can be accessed (col. 6, lines 33-45).

As per claim 38, it is taught by England et al of encrypting the security information with a user key associated with a member selected from a group consisting of a user, a device, a software module, and a group (col. 14, lines 18-35).

As per claim 39, England et al recites of attaching the header to the encrypted file, wherein the header includes the security information encrypted in addition to a flag indicating that the file is secured (col. 14, lines 18-35).

As per claim 40, England et al teaches of a computing device for securing a file, the computing device comprising an application, when executed, accessing the file that includes security information and an encrypted portion, the security information further including a file key and access rules, and the encrypted portion being an encrypted

Art Unit: 2131

version of the file, a cipher module activating upon determining that the file being accessed is secured, wherein the security information is encrypted and can be decrypted with a user key when authenticated; and wherein the file key can be retrieved to decrypt the encrypted portion only after the access rules have successfully measured against access privilege of the user (col. 2, line 66 through col. 3, line 13; col. 9, line 55 through col. 10, line 5; and col. 6, lines 33-45).

As per claim 41, England et al discloses of an operating system supporting operations of the application, and wherein the cipher module is embedded in the operating system (col. 10, lines 28-36).

As per claim 42, England et al discloses wherein the cipher module operates in a path through which the file is caused to pass when accessed by the application (col. 10, lines 28-56).

As per claim 43, England et al teaches that the computing device further including a memory space and a storage space, and wherein the file key is temporarily kept in the memory space when the file is successfully loaded into the application (col. 10, lines 28-56).

As per claim 44, it is disclosed by England et al wherein the file key is deleted from the memory space as soon as the file is wrote back to the storage space (col. 10, lines 28-56).

As per claim 45, England et al teaches wherein the user key becomes authenticated only when the user is authenticated by an authentication process to verify

Art Unit: 2131

who the user claims to be (col. 11, line 55 through col. 12, line 5 and col. 13, lines 60-66).

As per claim 46, England et al discloses wherein the computing device is coupled to another computing device over a data network, the user key becomes authenticated only after the user is successfully logged from the computing device into the another computing device (col. 11, line 55 through col. 12, line 5 and col. 13, lines 60-66)

As per claim 47, England et al teaches wherein the computing device is provided with means for capturing biometric data of the user, the user key becomes authenticated only after the biometric data is successfully verified to support who the user claims to be (col. 11, line 55 through col. 12, line 5 and col. 13, lines 60-66).

As per claim 48, it is taught by England et al wherein the user key becomes authenticated after the computing device receives credential information from the user (col. 11, line 55 through col. 12, line 5 and col. 13, lines 60-66).

As per claim 49, England et al discloses wherein the credential information includes one of a password entered by the user, biometric information of the user, personalized information about the user (col. 11, line 55 through col. 12, line 5 and col. 13, lines 60-66).

As per claim 50, England et al recites wherein the biometric information is captured from a device coupled to the computing device (col. 11, line 55 through col. 12, line 5 and col. 13, lines 60-66).

Art Unit: 2131

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Revak whose telephone number is 571-272-3794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

()/\ October 2, 2005

AU 2131

Christopher Revak Primary Examiner